From:	Wally Gordon
To:	Butt, Tom
Cc:	Bobby Dowling; Duffy, Matthew; Cuevas, Valerie; Groves, Todd; Madeline Kronenberg; LizBlock@comcast.net; Enos, Randall; Don Gosney; jtsai@bayareanewsgroup.com; mariandavidson@berkeley.edu; Gamba, Sheri; LeBlanc, Lisa; Scott Saddlemire; Ernie Cooper
Subject:	Re: WCCUSD Forensic Audit
Date:	Wednesday, September 21, 2016 11:39:18 AM
Attachments:	PastedGraphic-3.tiff ATT00001.htm 160921104317_0001.pdf ATT00002.htm image001.png ATT00003.htm

---

Tom:

Thank you for a well written explanation regarding the dangers of generalizing A/E fees and services.

I'll add the attached 2004 exchange of letters regarding A/E fees between the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and Marcus Hibser. The OPSC response makes it very clear that the (defunct) State fee curve was never intended to include construction administration services. I would strongly urge someone to investigate how A/E professionals have been tasked for decades to include construction administration services (the most difficult portion of services as you've aptly described) *without* expansion of the assumed underlying comparative fee curve for compensation. From the 1980's historical proportioning of A/E fees through construction admin, that would be a *25% increase* to the assumed comparative fee curve compensation. In the current trend of fee proportioning, that would be an approximate *33% increase* to the assumed comparative fee curve compensation.

Wallace B. Gordon, AIA
DLM Architects
77 Van Ness Avenue
Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94102

415-255-1811, ext. 5969
415-255-0248 - fax

www.dlm.com